

It concerns me where they have said no new school, not just location based. How do we get that mindset to change?

Foot traffic is not very well designed for children, so gaining access to 2nd Ave. would

Issues of our facilities are not going away. Thank you for being here and thank you to the superintendent for offering these sessions.

We don't know how the community will feel about this future school. Consider those who have students at different schools and transportation.

Option 2 does not seem feasible if you have to split up the kids.

Option 3 allows the most flexibility, and has met the most objectives without negative drawbacks.

It's inconvenient to move the OPA program, but in the long run it will be so much better for them. We're already in a renovated space. It's a band aid in a gusher.

I totally support the idea of fewer grades in each building.

Either way - now or in the future, the community is going to pay.

I am in favor of the school

It should be called a Capital Investment Project, as we are investing in the future.

I think option 2 is the best. I think leasing space is not a good idea.

The seismic properties of the CLT are than brick and mortar.

I appreciate the district looking ahead and anticipating the district needs.

differentiation.

It's exciting to see new options - building a new elementary on property the school already owns and moving the kitchen to a better space.

I love the idea of having kids grow up together. I think it's a great idea.

The cost you would save in labor for a typical brick and mortar school over 3 years is a savings.

In all cases, the kitchen will be running and OPA will be in its space before school begins again.

Superintendent Neal and the school board felt it was extremely important to collect public comment.

It's my obligation to ensure the people who voted for this and our community are the one's who influence these decisions.

Portable cost is roughly \$250-300K. There are generally 2 classes included in 1 portable. Currently they are hard to find.

Kim Glasser's classroom has had to be moved over break because of water flooding.

The district is pursuing 3 spots to move OPA, and money is in the budget to cover the lease for 3 years.

We have had a diverse group attending, and option three has been popular. We have not asked people to vote for any option.

In order to access matching funds, it has to be a remodel, not new construction.

Vanir has been looking into other sources of revenue. They have employees all over the state that are continually looking opportunities.

The School Board will decide based on public forum comments.

If we don't pass a construction bond within 5 years of the capital project levy being completed,

we lose the state funding. We hope we can get through the end of this school year. We are looking into available leasing spaces that are close to the district and have minimal disruption to the OPA families.

It doesn't meet any of today's standards, particularly safety.

The City has been in conversation with SSD for the last two years regarding this project. SSD may be given 2nd avenue by the city.